

Table 15 Standard "Respiratory outcome" public consultation comments

ID	Country	How would you rate the overall quality of the standard "Respiratory outcome"?		Do you have any recommendations on how to improve the standard "Respiratory outcome" (e.g. rational, benefits, components)?		Is there any important evidence or useful guidelines you would recommend to be included in the standard "Respiratory outcome"?		Do you think the standard "Respiratory outcome" is relevant in your country?		Do you have any conflict of interest (e.g. financial support or consulting of industry)?		Please specify if you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation.
		Response	Response	Response	Yes - Please make your recommendation(s) below.	Response	Yes - Please specify below, which evidence should be added (DOIs, references) to the standards and why.	Response	No - Please specify below why the standard is not relevant in your country.	Response	Yes - Please enter your conflict(s) of interest.	
1	Czech Republic	4	No			No		Yes		No		Individual
2	Poland	5	No			No		Yes		No		Individual
3	Portugal	5	No			No		Yes		No		Associação Portuguesa de Enfermagem Pediátrica e Neonatal
4	Slovakia	5	No			No		Yes		No		Section of Nurses Working in Neonatology, part of the Slovak Association of Nurses and Midwives is an organizational unit of the Slovak Medical Society
5	Germany	4	Yes - Please make your recommendation(s) below.	Consult parents to check their own vaccination in order to protect the child (Cocooning). Set a link to the vaccination standard.		I don't know		Yes		No		Bundesverband Bunter Kreis
6	Romania	5	No			No		Yes		No		ANMCS
7	Hungary	5	No			I don't know		Yes		No		Melletted a helyem Egyesulet
8	Croatia	4	No			No		Yes		Yes - Please enter your conflict(s) of interest.	Cooperation with Nutricia and Thermo Fisher Scientific.	Croatian Society for Neonatology and Neonatal Intensive Medicine