

Table 4 Standard "Neurological monitoring in the high-risk infant: Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)" public consultation comments

ID	Country	How would you rate the overall quality of the standard "Neurological monitoring in the high-risk infant: Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)"?	Do you have any recommendations on how to improve the standard "Neurological monitoring in the high-risk infant: Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)" (e.g. rational, benefits, components)?		Is there any important evidence or useful guidelines you would recommend to be included in the standard "Neurological monitoring in the high-risk infant: Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)"?		Do you think the standard "Neurological monitoring in the high-risk infant: Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)" is relevant in your country?		Do you have any conflict of interest (e.g. financial support or consulting of industry)?		Please specify if you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation.
			Response	Response	Response	Response	Response	Response	Response	Response	
1	Czech Republic		4	No	No		Yes		No		Individual
2	Poland		5	No	I don't know		Yes		No		Individual
3	Portugal		5	No	No		Yes		No		Associação Portuguesa de Enfermagem Pediátrica e Neonatal
4	Estonia		4	No	No		Yes		No		Estonian Perinatal Society
6	Romania		5	No	No		Yes		No		ANMCS
7	Poland		4	Yes - Please make your recommendation(s) below.	Very important new standard. In my opinion target group should include also newborns with PIVH	Yes - Please specify below, which evidence should be added (DOIs, references) to the standards and why.	Newborns with PIVH	Yes	No		Coalition for Preemies
8	Hungary		5	No		I don't know		Yes	No		Melletted a helyem Egyesulet
9	Croatia		4	No		No		I don't know	Yes - Please enter your conflict(s) of interest.	Cooperation with Nutricia and Thermo Fisher Scientific.	Croatian Society for Neonatology and Neonatal Intensive Medicine